GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1 JOEL A. FEUER, SBN 100663 JEREMY W. STAMELMAN, SBN 216097 2 LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT 2029 Century Park East **Suite 4000** 3 AUG - 2 2005 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 552-8500 JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK 4 Facsimile: (310) 551-8741 BY L. ZULUETA, DEPUTY 5 JACKSON, DeMARCO & PECKENPAUGH Michael L. Tidus, SBN 126425 6 M. Alim Malik, SBN 145546 2030 Main Street, Suite 1200 7 Irvine, California 92614 Telephone: (949)752-8585 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 9 VISTA MEDIA GROUP, INC. 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 13 14 CASE NO. BC 282832 VISTA MEDIA GROUP, INC., 15 Plaintiff, Assigned to Judge Ralph W. Dau 16 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT ٧. 17 DATE OF FILING CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al., OF COMPLAINT: October 4, 2002 18 Defendants. JUDGMENT ENTERED: August 1, 2005 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on August 1, 2005, the Court in the above-entitled action, the Honorable Ralph W. Dau presiding, entered the Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A.

DATED: August 2, 2005

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP JOEL A. FEUER JEREMY W. STAMELMAN

JACKSON, DeMARCO & PECKINPAUGH MICHAEL TIDUS

By: Joel A. Feuer

Attorneys for Plaintiff, VISTA MEDIA GROUP, INC.

10883574\_1.DOC

4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25 26

27 28

The second second

ORIGINAL FILED

AUG 0 1 2005

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

RECEIVED

AUG 0 1 2005

v.

SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

VISTA MEDIA GROUP, INC., et al.

Plaintiffs,

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.

Defendants.

**CASE NO. BC282832** 

REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED JUDGMENT

Assigned Judge:

Hon. Ralph W. Dau

Complaint Filed:

October 4, 2002

Department:

57

Vista Media Group, Inc. ("Vista") and the City of Los Angeles (the "City") (collectively the "Parties") have negotiated and entered into a settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement"), as amended, that, as between them, resolves the lawsuit entitled *Vista Media Group Inc.*, et al. v. City of Los Angeles, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 282832 ("the Vista Suit").

WHEREAS Vista is an outdoor advertising company that owns and/or operates outdoor advertising structures within the City;

WHEREAS the vast majority of Vista's outdoor advertising structures within the City consist of 8-sheets ("8-Sheets"), which have standard dimensions of approximately twelve (12) feet in width and six (6) feet in height (as used in this Judgment, "8-Sheet" refers to the structure upon which one or more advertising panels may be placed);

WHEREAS an additional 170 of Vista's outdoor advertising structures within the City consist of "City Lights" boards, which have standard dimensions of seven feet in width by ten feet in height and are backlit ("City Lights Boards") (as used in this Judgment, "City Lights Board" refers to the structure upon which one or more advertising panels may be placed and the 8-Sheets and the City Lights Boards collectively shall be referred to as "Structures");

WHEREAS Vista also has a small number of larger outdoor advertising structures commonly known as bulletins ("Bulletins") within the City;

WHEREAS in 2002 the Los Angeles City Council ("City Council") passed Ordinance Numbers 174442 and 174736, to amend the Los Angeles Municipal Code ("Municipal Code") to establish an off-site sign structure inspection program ("Program"), which among other things established, an annual inspection fee ("Inspection Fee") of \$314 per Off-Site Sign Structure (as defined in Section 91.6203 of the Municipal Code);

WHEREAS on October 4, 2002 Vista commenced this action in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles ("Court") titled Vista Media Group, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles et al., Case No. BC 282832, to invalidate the Inspection Fee (three other outdoor advertising companies joined in the Vista Suit as cross-complainants);

WHEREAS the Inspection Fee is also being challenged in a separate action in the United States District Court, Central District of California titled Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles et al., Case No. 02-7586 ("Federal Suit"), which Vista is not a party to, but to which the cross-complainants in the Vista Suit are parties;

WHEREAS Vista has reviewed its files, and without conceding that no permit ever existed, estimates that it is currently unable to locate City-issued permits for 8-Sheets with a total of approximately five hundred (500) panels within the City ("Unpermitted Structures"), and also acknowledges that all of its City Lights Boards and some of its 8-Sheets do not strictly conform with all of the terms in the applicable permits, and that in the future the existence of the permits or the status of the permits' conformity could be the subject of a good faith dispute;

WHEREAS the City desires to ensure the prompt removal of unpermitted Off-Site Sign Structures and to ensure that Off-Site Sign Structures conform with their applicable permits.

WHEREAS Vista disputes the amount of the Inspection Fee but desires to resolve permitting issues;

WHEREAS the Parties have settled the Vista Suit and wish to provide for the take-down of all of Vista's Unpermitted Structures, as well as provide for the re-permitting of certain of Vista's Structures that fall within specified categories of non-conformance with their permits, all as specified in this Judgment;

WHEREAS, pursuant to this Judgment, the City will obtain the benefit of the removal of Structures containing a minimum of five hundred (500) panels;

WHEREAS the Inspection Fee will be reduced to reflect, among other things, Vista's agreement to penalties and streamlined enforcement for failure to comply with this Judgment;

WHEREAS the Parties have agreed to, and hereby do, stipulate that, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, this Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce this Judgment until performance of its full term is completed;

WHEREAS the Parties have agreed to, and hereby do, stipulate to the entry of judgment;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that
judgment is entered as follows:

### 1) Litigation

Vista shall not institute or join existing litigation concerning the Inspection Fee or the Program.

## 2) Take Downs

(a) During sixty (60) days following December 13, 2004, the City shall, at Vista's cost, assist in identifying permits for Structures for which Vista cannot locate permits, by making available to Vista the City's permit database for Vista to research the permit status of its Structures. Within sixty (60) days of December 13, 2004, Vista shall complete its research and provide to the City a complete list of its Structures (the "Final Structures List") and other data required by Section 5(a) of this Judgment. The Final Structures List shall identify the Structures for which Vista has a permit, even if

St. Market

- the Structure is not fully compliant with the terms of the permit ("Permitted Structures"), and the Structures which are Unpermitted Structures.
- (b) Vista shall take down all of its Unpermitted Structures in the City within two years of December 13, 2004, subject to the City's inspection notification described in Section 2(b) of this Judgment (the "Take Down Period"). The City anticipates that it will inspect the Unpermitted Structures to confirm their existence within ninety (90) days after Vista provides the City with a list of Unpermitted Structures pursuant to Section 5 of this Judgment. Vista shall take down the Unpermitted Structures at a rate of at least sixty-three (63) panels every three (3) months (until all Unpermitted Structures are taken down), commencing on the later of (i) the City informing Vista in writing that it has inspected the Unpermitted Structures provided on Vista's list of Unpermitted Structures and confirmed their existence, or (ii) the City informing Vista in writing that the Unpermitted Structures may be taken down without the City first inspecting them. In no event shall Vista be required to remove more than sixty-three (63) panels in any three (3) month period. The Take Down Period shall be extended as necessary to accommodate the inspection and removal pacing requirements of Subsection 2(b) of this Judgment.
- (c) In the event that the number of panels on the Unpermitted Structures on the Final Structures List totals less than five hundred (500), within ninety (90) days of the completion of the Initial Inspection (as defined in Subsection 4(c) of this Judgment), Vista shall identify additional panels on Structures ("Additional Structures"), which have been removed in accordance with Section 6 of this Judgment, or shall be removed in order to achieve the removal of a minimum total of five hundred (500) panels. The Additional Structures may include Structures that do not conform with their permits ("Non-Conforming Structures") required to be taken down pursuant to any other provision of this Judgment.
- (d) The sequence and location of take downs of the Unpermitted Structures and Additional Structures shall be determined by Vista.

## 3) Settlement Payments

In lieu of any fees provided for in the Program with respect to the Structures, Vista shall pay to the City:

- (a) \$186 per Structure within 60 days of December 13, 2004 to fully cover (i) the City's costs of the Initial Inspection (as defined in Subsection 4(c) of this Judgment) (including labor and capital costs) and (ii) two hundred and sixty-four (264) hours of Building and Safety Department staff time for dispute resolution during the Initial Inspection Period (as provided in Section 10 of this Judgment); and
- (b) \$150 per Permitted Structure to cover the cost of Annual Inspections ("Annual Fee"), which shall be paid (i) initially, within 60 days following the Initial Inspection Period. and (ii) thereafter, on the one-year anniversary of payment of the previous Annual Fee, provided however, that Vista shall not be required to pay the following Annual Fee unless and until the City has certified to Vista that it has actually, physically, inspected and documented each Structure in the preceding Annual Inspection. If Vista does not use all 264 hours of the Building and Safety Department staff time for dispute resolution referenced in Subsection 3(a) of this Judgment, then the City shall credit an amount equal to the cost of the unused staff time against the amount of the first Annual Fee payable following the completion of the Initial Inspection Period. The second Annual Fee payable following the completion of the Initial Inspection Period shall be adjusted to reflect the relative increase or decrease between that year and the previous year of both (i) the cost of living adjustment ("COLA") as determined by the City of Los Angeles and generally applied to other City contracts, and (ii) the cost allocation plan ("CAP") as determined by the City Controller pursuant to federal guidelines and generally applicable to City grants and contracts.

## 4) Inspection Program

(a) This Judgment dictates the manner in which the Structures will be inspected by the City, notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the Program. The City agrees that the settlement payments specified in Section 2 of this Judgment cover the

City's costs in performing the inspections and other actions described in Section 4 of this Judgment.

- (b) Vista's Bulletins shall continue to be subject to the Program.
- (c) Commencing from the date Vista submits the Final Structures List in accordance with Section 2(a) of this Judgment, the City shall inspect each Structure once, including the Unpermitted Structures ("Initial Inspection"). The period during which the City conducts the Initial Inspection shall be called the "Initial Inspection Period." The City may determine the rate at which it conducts inspections so that the actual inspections may be completed in less than three (3) years, but the Initial Inspection Period for which the \$186 fee is payable per Section 2(a) of this Judgment shall be the longer of (i) three (3) years and sixty (60) days from December 13, 2004 or (ii) the period between December 13, 2004 and the date the Initial Inspection is completed. At the completion of the Initial Inspection Period, it is expected that the City will inspect each Structure once each year (such inspections after the Initial Inspection Period to be called "Annual Inspections").
- (d) Within thirty (30) days of the end of each three (3) month period in which Vista takes down Unpermitted Structures, Vista shall notify the City of the Unpermitted Structures that were taken down during that period, and the City may re-inspect the sites of those Unpermitted Structures to confirm that fact ("Take-Down Verification").
- (e) In order to ensure full documentation of City inspections, at a minimum each City inspection shall include:
  - (i) a date-stamped digital photograph of each panel of the Structure showing the relationship of the panel(s) to the ground;
  - (ii) the height of the Structure; and
  - (iii) the dimensions of each panel.
- 5) Data

(a) Vista shall provide the City with the following data within sixty (60) days of December 13, 2004:

- (i) electronic data containing (A) the street address of each Permitted
  Structure and Unpermitted Structure, (B) the type of Permitted
  Structure, and Unpermitted Structure (8-Sheet or City Lights
  Board), and (C) the number of panels associated with each
  Permitted Structure and Unpermitted Structure;
- (ii) a map showing the approximate location of each Permitted

  Structure and Unpermitted Structure; and
- (iii) a copy of the permit for each Permitted Structure.
- (b) Vista shall provide the City with the same information described in Subsection 5(a) of this Judgment for the Additional Structures within ninety (90) days of the completion of the Initial Inspection.

## 6) Initial Inspection

The parties anticipate that during the Initial Inspection Period, the City will identify Non-Conforming Structures. Section 6 of this Judgment identifies the forms of non-conformance that are anticipated, and specifies the manner in which the non-conformance will be addressed so as to ensure that by the completion of the Initial Inspection Period, each Structure will be compliant. The total number of structures for which permits may be adjusted to reflect the current status of Non-Conforming Structures through Section 6 of this Judgment shall not exceed eight hundred thirty five (835) structures, not counting City Lights Boards, of which only two hundred and ten (210) may be double panels that have been placed on Structures that were permitted only for a single panel. If Vista brings any Non-Conforming Structures into compliance by returning them to compliance with their original permit, such Structures shall not count toward the 835 cap.

(a) <u>Unpermitted Signs Not Scheduled for Take Down</u>. If the City finds a Structure for which a permit does not exist in the City's files, which is not on the Final Structures List, then Vista shall remove the Structure and pay a five thousand dollar (\$5,000) penalty to the City within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice of non-conformance from the City.

- (b) Height. If (a) the City finds that a panel has been placed up to ten (10) feet higher or lower than the height specified in the permit for the Permitted Structure, and (b) the Permitted Structure and panel do not exceed any other applicable height limitations imposed by zoning regulations, Specific Plan requirements or any other provision of the Los Angeles Municipal Code, then, within ninety (90) days of finding such nonconformance and after receipt of an approved structural plan (which may, to the extent appropriate, be a standard plan, provided that the standard plan matches the dimensions and physical structure of the relevant Structure ("Standard Plan")), including exposure of any prior work done without permits or approvals, and after plan check approval and Vista's payment of applicable permit fees for the noncompliant Permitted Structure, the City shall re-issue a permit stating the actual height of the Permitted Structure and panel. If the panel has been placed more than 10 feet higher or lower than the height specified in the permit for the Permitted Structure, then the parties shall use the dispute resolution process described in Section 10 of this Judgment. If the panel exceeds the applicable height limitations imposed by zoning regulations, Specific Plan requirements or any other provision of the Los Angeles Municipal Code, then it must be removed or brought into conformance with its permit within sixty (60) days.
  - (c) <u>Double Panels.</u> If the City finds that a Structure that was permitted for a single panel actually has two panels, then the City shall determine whether or not the Structure violates the sign spacing regulations set forth in section 91.6218.4 of the Municipal Code ("Spacing Regulations"). If the Structure violates the Spacing Regulations, then Vista shall, within sixty (60) days of receipt of notice of such non-conformance, remove the panel that causes the violation of the Spacing Regulations. If both panels cause a violation of the Spacing Regulations, then Vista shall, within sixty (60) days of receipt of notice of such non-conformance, remove the panel that was not authorized in the original permit. If the parties cannot determine which panel was authorized in the original permit, then they shall mutually agree upon the panel to be

removed, provided that if Vista proposes the panel to be removed and the City does not object within thirty (30) days of such proposal, Vista may remove that panel. If the Structure does not violate the Spacing Regulations and the Structure conforms to the permitted orientation, then, within sixty (60) days of finding such non-conformance, Vista shall pay the then-applicable permit fee and after receipt of an approved structural plan (which may, to the extent appropriate, be a Standard Plan), including exposure of any prior work done without permits or approvals, and after plan check approval, the City shall re-issue the permit stating that the Structure is permitted for two panels.

(d) Location. If the City finds that a Structure has been moved from its permitted location, but that the Structure meets all of the following criteria: (i) is located within 50 feet of the permitted location, (ii) is located on a lot immediately adjacent to the lot for which it was permitted, (iii) is on the same side of the street or road for which it was permitted, (iv) does not violate the Spacing Regulations, and (v) is not on a lot with a current residential use, then, within sixty (60) days of finding such nonconformance and after receipt of an approved structural plan (which may, to the extent appropriate, be a Standard Plan), including exposure of any prior work done without permits or approvals, and after plan check approval and Vista's payment of permit fees, the City shall re-issue the permit reflecting the actual location of the Structure. If the Structure has been moved from its permitted location but does not meet all of the criteria (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) or (v) in the previous sentence, then Vista and the City shall meet and confer in good faith to discuss a resolution. In formulating a resolution (which resolution may consist of re-issuing a permit for the Structure in its present location), City shall take into account the reason for the Structure being placed in a different location, the Structure's distance from the originally permitted location, spacing between the Structure and other off-site signs, and visual impacts. If the parties are unable to reach a mutually acceptable resolution, then within sixty

່ 27

- (60) days of meeting Vista shall return the Structure to its permitted location or take it down.
- (e) Invalid or Inconsistent Permit. If Vista produces a permit that is not consistent with the permit contained in the City's files, then unless the City agrees otherwise the permit in the City's files shall be presumed to be the accurate and valid permit for all purposes. In the event that Vista produces a copy of a permit for which a corresponding record does not exist in the City's files, then the parties shall use the dispute resolution process described in Section 10 of this Judgment to determine in good faith whether or not that permit will be treated as the original permit, and if it is so determined, the parties shall then insert Vista's copy of the permit into the City's files, and treat that permit going forward as the original permit. Within sixty (60) days of notice from the City that a permit does not exist in the City's files, Vista shall either commence the dispute resolution process or take the relevant Structure down.
- (f) Other Non-Conformance. If the City finds that a Structure is non-conforming in a manner other than mentioned in Section 6(a), (b), (c), or (d) of this Judgment, or Section 9 of this Judgment (City Lights Conversion), then Vista shall either bring the Structure into compliance or take it down within thirty (30) days of notice of nonconformance, or initiate the dispute resolution process described in Section 10 of this Judgment.
- (g) Multiple Non-Conformances. If a Structure is non-conforming in more than one way, but each non-conformance individually would be permitted pursuant of this Judgment, then the Structure may be retained. Conversely, if any non-conformance would not be permitted pursuant to of this Judgment, that non-conformance shall be remedied, or the Structure shall be taken down within the timeframes in of this Judgment.

## 7) Annual Inspections

a. Following the Initial Inspection Period, if the City finds any Structure to be out of conformance with its permit (as re-issued during the Initial Inspection Period, if

applicable), Vista shall, within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice of such non-conformance:

- (i) make a penalty payment of \$1,500 to the City; and
- (ii) either bring the Structure back into conformance or take the Structure down.
- b. Notwithstanding the foregoing, and in consideration of the fact that the Initial Inspection Period shall last at least three years, if the City finds any Structure to be out of conformance with its permit (as re-issued or permitted to be re-issued during the Initial Inspection Period, if applicable) during the period up to and including the first set of Annual Inspections following the Initial Inspection Period, then Vista shall, within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice of such non-conformance:
  - (i) make a penalty payment of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) to the City; and
  - (ii) either bring the Structure back into conformance or take the Structure down.
- c. Notwithstanding Section 7(a) or (b) of this Judgment, if the non-conformance consists of (i) a discrepancy in the overall height of a Permitted Structure of less than two (2) feet, or (ii) a discrepancy in the height and/or width of the panel of less than one (1) foot, or (iii) another de minimis discrepancy agreed to by the parties, then Vista shall not make the penalty payment and instead shall bring the Structure back into compliance within thirty (30) days if so requested by the City. If the parties cannot agree on whether a discrepancy is de minimis, or if Vista fails to bring the Structure back into compliance within thirty (30) days, then Vista shall immediately pay the \$1,500 or \$5,000 penalty fee, as the case may be, and take down the relevant Structure.
- d. The process provided in this Judgment may be utilized, at the election of the City, in addition to the enforcement process provided in section 91.6202, 98.0403 et seq. of the Municipal Code.

2

9

6

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

> 27 28

- (a) Each Structure shall be maintained in a clean, safe and good working condition, including the replacement of defective parts, defaced or broken faces, lighting and other acts required for the maintenance of said Structure. The display surfaces shall be kept neatly painted or posted at all times.
- (b) If at any time the City determines that a Structure is not maintained in a clean and good working condition as described in Section 8(a) of this Judgment, the City shall issue a notice to Vista describing the non-compliance, and specifying any actions Vista must take to bring the Structure into compliance. If Vista has not brought the Structure into compliance within thirty (30) days of receipt of such notice, then Vista shall immediately make a penalty payment of \$1,500 to the City, provided that if such non-compliance cannot reasonably be cured within thirty (30) days, then Vista shall have up to sixty (60) days to cure. This process may be utilized, at the election of the City, in addition to the enforcement process provided in section 91.6202 and 98.0403 et seq. of the Municipal Code.
- (c) However, notwithstanding any other provision of this Judgment, the City has the right to reasonably determine the timeline for compliance if the City discovers that a hazardous or unsafe condition associated with any off-site sign structure exists, and the City may pursue its normal enforcement procedure as provided by the Municipal Code.
- (d) The process provided in Section 8 of this Judgment shall apply only to Structure maintenance issues. Permit non-conformance issues that arise following the Initial Inspection Period shall be handled as described in Section 7 of this Judgment.

## 9) City Lights

(a) The total number of City Lights Boards permitted under this Judgment, including any City Lights Board Conversions as defined in Subsection 9(d) of this Judgment, shall not exceed two hundred and eighty (280) structures consisting of a maximum of four hundred and sixty-one (461) panels.

- (b) Vista shall, within thirty (30) days of December 13, 2004, provide a list of locations of all existing City Lights Boards.
- (c) Within one hundred and twenty (120) days of December 13, 2004, Vista shall apply to the Department of Building & Safety for all permits, including any electrical and mechanical permits, for the existing City Lights Boards. The applications shall seek permits to realign the orientation of the Permitted Structures from horizontal to vertical, to allow the Permitted Structures to have internal illumination, and to change the permitted panel dimensions from approximately 6'x12' to approximately 7'x10'. Vista shall pay the appropriate plan check, inspection, permit and other applicable fees as then in effect associated with such applications.
- (d) Vista shall be permitted, at any time during the term of this Judgment, to notify the City of permitted, fully-compliant 8-Sheets (which may have one or two existing panels) which Vista desires to convert into City Lights Boards ("City Lights Board Conversions"), provided that Vista takes down one additional permitted, fully-compliant 8-Sheet pursuant to Subsection 9(e) of this Judgment. The City Lights Board Conversions shall be selected in reasonable consultation with the City, taking into account sensitive land uses, spacing requirements and aesthetics. The City Lights Board Conversions shall not include any locations within fifty (50) feet of a legally established residential use, school, or religious institution. The City Lights Board Conversions shall be like-for-like, in that 8-Sheets with single panels may be converted to City Lights Boards with single panels, and 8-Sheets with double panels may be converted to City Lights Boards with either single or double panels. To the extent Vista converts a double paneled 8-Sheet to a single paneled City Lights Board, the additional panel shall be treated as a take down for the purposes of Section 2 of this Judgment.
  - (e) In return for the right to convert permitted, fully-compliant 8-Sheets into City Lights

    Boards, Vista shall take down one additional permitted, fully-compliant 8-Sheet, in the

    Council District in which the conversion occurs, at the time of, or preceding,

2

conversion. The 8-Sheet take downs for City Lights Board Conversions shall be likefor-like, in that 8-Sheets with single panels shall be taken down for single panel City Lights Board Conversions, and 8-Sheets with double panels or two single panel 8-Sheets shall be taken down for one double panel City Lights Board Conversion or two single panel City Lights Board Conversions. Within ten (10) days of providing notice of any City Lights Board Conversions to the City as specified in Subsection 9(d) of this Judgment, Vista shall provide a separate list to each relevant Council District office containing street addresses of potential 8-Sheets to be taken down in that Council District in return for the right to convert 8-Sheets. The list of potential 8-Sheets to be taken down shall contain at least twice as many 8-Sheets as conversions proposed in that Council District. In preparing the list of potential 8-Sheets to be taken down, all other factors being equal, Vista shall use commercially reasonable efforts to give priority to taking down 8-Sheets located on lots with current residential uses. The relevant District office shall have the right to select the 8-Sheets to be taken down from that list, in an amount equaling the number of City Lights Board Conversions proposed in the Council District. If the Council District office does not provide its selection of take downs within thirty (30) days of receipt of the list, then Vista may select the 8-Sheets to be taken down from that list in its sole discretion.

- (f) Vista shall apply to the Department of Building & Safety for any permits for any City Lights Board Conversions in the same manner as for existing City Lights Boards specified in Subsection 9(c) of this Judgment. At its election, Vista may convert permitted (or re-permitted) City Lights Boards back to 8-Sheets (and shall pay any applicable permitting fees associated with that conversion), so as to allow for an increased number of City Lights Board Conversions up to the 280 total City Lights Boards structures, and 461 panels, cap.
- (g) At least one hundred and twenty (120) days before submitting a permit application to the Department of Building and Safety with respect to any City Lights Board Conversion pursuant to Subsection 9(f) of this Judgment, Vista shall give sixty (60)

days' notice to the Department of Building and Safety that it intends to take down

(i) all existing City Lights Boards which Vista has not elected to retain, and (ii) all additional 8-Sheets to be taken down pursuant to Subsection 9(e) of this Judgment.

As part of this process, Vista shall apply for a demolition permit for each of the Structures to be taken down. The City may inspect such Structures before the proposed take downs to confirm the existence of such Structures (if the Initial Inspection is not yet complete), and after the take downs to confirm that they have in fact been taken down.

## 10) Dispute Resolution

Section 10 of this Judgment provides the sole dispute resolution process to be used to resolve any dispute between the parties over the interpretation or implementation of this Judgment.

- (a) First, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith in an attempt to resolve the dispute, which meeting shall include, at the request of either party, representatives of the City Attorney's office and legal counsel for Vista.
- (b) Prior to moving further forward in the dispute resolution process, Vista shall deposit with the City four thousand five hundred dollars (\$4,500) for each disputed Structure as payment towards the City's total costs of dispute resolution, except that during the Initial Inspection Period Vista shall not be required to deposit any money with the City until the Department of Building and Safety has expended two hundred and sixty-four (264) hours of its staff time on the dispute resolution process. Once the initial allocation of 264 staff hours has been exhausted, or the Initial Inspection Period is complete (whichever is earlier), then Vista shall be required to provide the City with the above-referenced \$4,500 deposit. If Vista has provided a deposit in accordance with Subsection 10(b) of this Judgment, within sixty (60) days of the completion of a dispute resolution process the City shall certify the actual costs to the City of that process, including but not limited to staff time for issuance of noncompliance letters, meetings, re-inspections, court preparation and hearing. Within sixty (60) days of such certification, to the extent the City's total costs of dispute resolution are less than

- \$4,500, the City shall refund the difference to Vista, and to the extent the City's total costs of dispute resolution exceed \$4,500, Vista shall pay the City the difference.
- (c) If the parties are unable to resolve the dispute during good faith discussions, then either party may bring the matter before the Board of Commissioners of the Department of Building and Safety ("Board") for its consideration and resolution.
- (d) Once the Board has issued its decision, the aggrieved party may appeal the Board's decision directly to this Court (which has retained jurisdiction over the Parties to enforce this Judgment) for final resolution. This Court's decision shall be final and not subject to appeal.
- (e) Vista shall identify all Structures for which there is a dispute following a relevant inspection within sixty (60) days of receipt of notification of the results of that inspection. In order to limit the burden on the Court, all disputes over alleged Non-Conforming Structures that arise in any given six (6) months and that cannot be resolved through the procedures in Sections 10(b) or (c) of this Judgment must be batched together and taken to the Court for dispute resolution at the completion of that six (6) month period, and may not be pursued individually. However, in no event may Vista dispute the status of more than twenty (20) Structures in any given six (6) months, unless the City agrees otherwise. To the extent certain alleged Non-Conforming Structures raise identical issues to be resolved and do not involve issues specific to the individual Structures, those issues may each be treated as dispute resolution of one Structure. If more Structures are disputed than are permitted within each six (6) month batch, Vista shall either remove all copy from the disputed Structure(s), or install public service announcements (not for profit) on the disputed Structure(s), within sixty (60) days of them being identified as being in excess of the current batch, and keep them in that same manner until the dispute resolution process for the relevant Structure(s) is complete. If Vista fails to remove the copy or install public service announcements as described in the preceding sentence, the City may

- require Vista to pay a ten thousand dollar (\$10,000) penalty and take down the Structure within thirty (30) days' notice.
- (f) The standard of review to be employed by the Court in reviewing the decision of the Board is ordinary mandamus pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1085.
- (g) All statutes of limitation that could impede enforcement of this Judgment are tolled until performance of its full terms is completed, including, but not limited to, Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 583.310.

## 11) City Enforcement Rights

Where Vista is required under this Judgment to pay a certain penalty and bring a Structure back into conformance or take the Structure down within a specified period, if Vista does not bring the Structure back into conformance or take the Structure down within the required time period, then for each following thirty (30) day period, provided that the Structure is not the subject of dispute resolution proceedings pursuant to Section 10 of this Judgment, the City may:

- a. require Vista to pay an additional penalty in the same amount as the original penalty; or
- b. take down the Structure and charge Vista for the cost of such take-down, provided that Vista has first secured permission for the City to enter onto the property on which the Structure is located.

### 12) Future Program and Fees

- (a) If at any time before the conclusion of the Initial Inspection Period the annual inspection fee for any off-site sign located within the City is lower than \$186 per structure, including but not limited to the fee specified in the Program, or fees determined through settlement or other resolution of litigation, Vista shall receive a credit toward its Annual Fees for the amount it has paid per Structure in excess of such lower fee, and the rest of this Judgment shall continue in full force and effect.
- (b) If at any time after the Initial Inspection Period the annual inspection fee for any offsite sign located within the City is lower than the Annual Fee (as adjusted pursuant to Section 3(b) of this Judgment), including but not limited to the fee specified in the

- Program, or fees determined through settlement or other resolution of litigation, the Annual Fee shall be reduced to that lower amount, and the rest of this Judgment shall continue in full force and effect.
- (c) If a court of competent jurisdiction determines that any part of the Program is unconstitutional or invalid, and that court's determination is or becomes final and non-appealable, or if the Program is otherwise ceased (whether through repeal or otherwise), then Vista shall not be subject to the Program, and Vista shall not be required to pay any Annual Fee from that time forward, and certain provisions of this Judgment shall continue to be operative as specified in Section 12(d) of this Judgment.
- (d) Notwithstanding Subsection 12(c) of this Judgment, if the Program and/or the inspection fee specified in the Program are struck down after the execution of this Judgment and before the conclusion of the Initial Inspection Period, then: (i) Vista shall complete the take downs pursuant to Section 2 of this Judgment, Vista shall pay \$186 per Structure pursuant to Section 3(a) of this Judgment (subject to the credit of 264 hours of Building and Safety Department staff time for dispute resolution), the City shall complete the Initial Inspection pursuant to Section 4 of this Judgment, Vista shall provide data pursuant to Section 5 of this Judgment, the City shall re-issue permits pursuant to Section 6 of this Judgment, and Vista shall have the continuing right to obtain City Lights Conversions pursuant to Section 9 of this Judgment; (ii) Section 10 of this Judgment (Dispute Resolution), Section 11 of this Judgment (City Enforcement Rights), Section 13 of the Settlement Agreement (Termination), Section 17 of the Settlement Agreement (Notices) and Section 29 of the Settlement Agreement (Specific Performance) shall continue in full force and effect with respect to the obligations described in Subsection 12(d)(i) of this Judgment; and (iii) the remainder of this Judgment, including without limitation the Annual Fee requirement, shall be of no force or effect.

- (e) If a new billboard inspection program is enacted, at Vista's election Vista may(i) continue with the process provided in this Judgment or (ii) or become subject to the new program.
- (f) this Judgment does not in any way affect or alter Vista's or the City's rights or obligations under any existing or future supplemental use district, specific plan, development agreement, or other similar regulatory requirement or program, other than the Program. Any take-downs under this Judgment shall not be credited or utilized under any other City program.

## 13) Termination

- (a) If the Settlement Agreement is terminated for any reason (including expiration), then Vista's Structures shall become subject to the Program (as the same may exist at the time of termination). If the Settlement Agreement is terminated before the completion of the Initial Inspection Period, then Vista shall not be required to pay the inspection fee under the Program (as the same may exist at the time of termination) until each of the Structures has been inspected once pursuant to Section 4 of the Settlement Agreement, and any permits have been issued or re-issued as required by Section 6 (Initial Inspection) of the Settlement Agreement and Section 9 (City Lights) of the Settlement Agreement, provided that Vista has completed the take downs pursuant to Section 2 of the Settlement Agreement, paid \$186 per Structure pursuant to Section 3(a) of the Settlement Agreement, and provided data pursuant to Section 5 of the Settlement Agreement.
- (b) If the Settlement Agreement is not terminated earlier pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Agreement shall end automatically five
  (5) years from the date of execution of the Settlement Agreement, provided that the obligation to issue or re-issue permits as required by Section 6 of the Settlement Agreement and Section 9 of the Settlement Agreement shall survive such expiration.
  Sixty (60) days before the end of the initial five (5) year term (and any successive term), the City shall notify Vista in writing of the Annual Fee that would be applicable

to the Structures for the following five (5) years if the Settlement Agreement were to be extended for that period. If Vista agrees to such Annual Fee within sixty (60) days of receiving such notice, the Settlement Agreement shall be extended for a further five (5) years. If Vista does not agree to such Annual Fee, the Settlement Agreement shall terminate and Vista shall have any remedy available to it at law or in equity to raise claims relating to the Program (if any) and the Inspection Fee existing as of the time of termination of the Settlement Agreement. Upon the termination of the Settlement Agreement as provided by the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the parties to the Settlement Agreement may only seek to enforce the Judgment as to facts and circumstances arising before the termination date. In the event that no party seeks to enforce the Judgment within 90 days following a termination of the Settlement Agreement, the Judgment shall be deemed satisfied by both parties.

JUDGMENT

This Stipulated Judgment is hereby entered by the Court pursuant to the terms set forth above.

DATED: <u>AUG 0 1 2005</u>

Rafph W. Day

Judge of the Superior Court

1	I have read the above [Proposed] Stipulated Judgment and consent to it.	
2	July, 2005	1/0 / -
3		Who the
		Vista Media Group, Inc. Walter F. Ulloa,
4		Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
5	July_, 2005	
6		City of Los Angeles Michael L. Klekner,
7		Michael L. Klekner, Deputy City Attorney
8		
9	Approved as to form:	_
10	7. 7.	0.00
11	July - <b>3</b> 2005	Yorl Fenn / S.W.S.
12		Joel Feuer Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
13		Attorneys for Vista Media Group, Inc.
14	July, 2005	
15		Michael L. Klekner,
16	<b>I</b> I	Deputy City Attorney Office of City Attorney
17		Attorneys for City of Los Angeles
18	1	·
	10861504_2.DOC	
19		•
20	1	
21	1	
22	lj .	
23	<b>1</b>	
24	I)	
25		
26		
27	,	·
28	H .	
•	K	

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

Control than he had the control that the control to the control to

Continue the second of the sec

1	I have read the above [Proposed] Stipulated Judgment and consent to it.
2	July, 2005
3	Vista Media Group, Inc.
4	Walter F. Ulloa, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
5	July 1, 2005 Michael He
6	City of Los Angeles Michael L. Klekner,
7	Michael L. Klekner, Deputy City Attorney
8	
8	Approved as to form:
10	July, 2005
11	Joel Feuer
12	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP Attorneys for Vista Media Group, Inc.
13	July 7:2005 Moderal Allel
14	The water of feet
15	Michael L. Klekner, Deputy City Attorney Office of City Attorney Attorneys for City of Los Angeles
16	Attorneys for City of Los Angeles
17	
18	10661504_2.DQC
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	<u>.</u>
28	
	<u> </u>
	n · 71

REVISED [PROPOSED] STIPULATED JUDGMENT

## PROOF OF SERVICE MAIL

I am employed in Los Angeles, California; I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a

2

3

1

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

 $\square$ 

12

13 14

15

16

17

П

 $\square$ 

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

I, Judy Levine, declare as follows:

August 2, 2005, I served the following document:

by placing a copy thereof in an envelope addressed to each of the persons named below at the address shown:

party to this action; my business address is 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071 On

### SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

**BY MAIL**: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated above, on the above-mentioned date. I am familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed to each person[s] named at the address[es] shown and giving same to a messenger for personal delivery before 5:00 p.m. on the above-mentioned date.

BY FACSIMILE: From facsimile machine telephone number (213) 229-7520, on the above-mentioned date, I served a full and complete copy of the above-referenced document[s] by facsimile transmission to the person[s] at the number[s] indicated.

BY OVERNIGHT MAIL: I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated above, on the above-mentioned date. I am familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for delivery by overnight mail. Pursuant to that practice, envelopes placed for collect ion at designated locations during designated hours are delivered to the overnight mail service with a fully completed airbill, under which all delivery charges are paid by Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, that same day in the ordinary course of business.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California (STATE) that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. (FEDERAL)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the foregoing document was printed on recycled paper. This Declaration of Service was executed by me on August 2, 2005, at Los Angeles, California.

Judy Levine

## **SERVICE LIST**

Vista Media Group, Inc. v. City Of Los Angeles, et al. L.A.S.C. Case No. BC 282 832

4 Rockard J. Delgadillo, City Attorney Jeri L. Burge, Assistant City Attorney 5 Michael Klekner, Deputy City Attorney 6 Steven N. Blau, Deputy City Attorney 700 City Hall East 7 200 North Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012-4130 8 fax: (213) 485-8899

Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Robert S. Bower, Esq. 10 Jeffrey T. Melching, Esq. Andrew E. Ainsworth, Esq. 11 **RUTAN & TUCKER** 12 611 Anton Blvd., 14th Floor Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1931 13 FAX: (714) 546-9035

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant and Cross-Petitioner REGENCY OUTDOOR ADVERTISING, INC.

Richard B. Kendall, Esq. Laura W. Brill, Esq. **IRELL & MANELLA, LLP** 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, CA 90067-4275 FAX: (310) 282-5684

Attorneys for Cross-Complainants CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR, INC.; VIACOM OUTDOOR, INC.; NATIONAL ADVERTISING COMPANY

Michael L. Tidus, Esq. M. Alim Malik, Esq. 20 JACKSON, DeMARCO & PECKENPAUGH 21 2030 Main Street, Suite 1200 Irvine, CA 92614 FAX: (949) 752-0597

Plaintiff VISTA MEDIA GROUP, INC.

23

22

1

2

3

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25 26

27

28